



Vine Street Community Day

140 South C St. • Porterville, CA 93257 • (559) 782-6650 • Grades 7-12

Monty Newkirk, Principal

mnewkirk@portervilleschools.org

2014-15 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2015-16 School Year



Porterville Unified School District

600 West Grand Ave.
Porterville, CA 93257
(559) 793-2400
portervilleschools.org

District Governing Board

Hayley Buettner
Pete Lara, Jr.
Pat Contreras
Sharon Gill
David DePaoli
Felipe Martinez
Lillian Durbin

District Administration

John Snavely, Ed.D.
Superintendent
Ken Gibbs, Ed.D.

Assistant Superintendent Business Services

Dr. Martha Stuemky
**Assistant Superintendent
Instructional Services**

Nate Nelson, Ed.D.
**Assistant Superintendent
Human Resources**

School Description

Principal's Message

Students that attend Vine Street Community Day School receive personalized instruction. In this setting, the academic instruction is designed as an individualized education program developed for each student based on his/her academic and social needs. By utilizing a variety of learning modalities, students' learning potential is maximized. Emphasis is placed upon the development of social as well as academic achievement. This knowledge-base is essential for students to master, allowing successful return to the traditional instructional setting.

Mission Statement

At Vine Street Community Day School, we believe every student has the right to a safe and quiet learning environment. Therefore, we have an obligation to create an environment in which students have the best opportunity to learn, and an obligation to counsel those who are not serious about their education. We also believe that open and honest communication between school and home is important in assuring a student's success at Vine Street Community Day School.

Community & School Profile

Porterville, lying along the foothills of the Sierras, is located on State Highway 65, 165 miles north of Los Angeles, and 171 miles east of the Pacific Coast. Centrally located to major markets, the city has strategic access to major transportation routes. Porterville Unified School District serves more than 13,000 students throughout the community, as well as the town of Strathmore. The district is comprised of ten elementary schools, three middle schools, four comprehensive high schools, one magnet academy, one continuation high school, and two alternative sites.

Vine Street Community Day School serves students in grades seven through twelve on a traditional calendar schedule. Curriculum is based on meeting the needs of students through a variety of teaching styles, integrating the curriculum when appropriate, and incorporating technology whenever possible. Special emphasis is placed on cultural awareness. Diverse literature selections and various multicultural observances promote a climate of tolerance and acceptance among students and faculty.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school at (559) 782-6650 or the district office.

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	1
Grade 8	1
Grade 9	4
Grade 10	8
Grade 11	3
Grade 12	3
Total Enrollment	20

2014-15 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
American Indian or Alaska Native	10
Hispanic or Latino	80
White	10
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	80
English Learners	25
Foster Youth	5

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Vine Street Community Day	13-14	14-15	15-16
With Full Credential	2	2	2
Without Full Credential	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0
Porterville Unified School District	13-14	14-15	15-16
With Full Credential	♦	♦	622
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	26
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	16

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Vine Street Community Day	13-14	14-15	15-16
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

2014-15 Percent of Classes in Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	57.7	42.3
Districtwide		
All Schools	95.0	5.0
High-Poverty Schools	95.0	5.0
Low-Poverty Schools	0.0	0.0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2015-16)

Porterville Unified School District held a Public Hearing on September 10, 2015 and determined that each school has sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English Learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. The chart below displays data collected in August 2015, regarding textbooks in use during the 2015-16 school year.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: August 2015	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	Glencoe/McGraw Hill Adopted 2000 Globe Book Company Adopted 1999 MacMillan/McGraw Hill Adopted 1999 McDougal Littell Adopted 2005 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
Mathematics	Addison-Wesley Adopted 2003 Brooks/Cole Adopted 2001 CPM Educational Adopted 1999 McDougal Littell Adopted 2006 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
Science	Glencoe Adopted 2004 McDougal Littell Adopted 2006 Prentice Hall Adopted 2009 Thomson Learning Adopted 2002 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%

Textbooks and Instructional Materials

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2015

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
History-Social Science	Glencoe Adopted 1999 McDougal Littell Adopted 2006 McGraw-Hill Adopted 1999 Prentice Hall Adopted 1999 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
Foreign Language	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
Health	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
Visual and Performing Arts	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%
Science Laboratory Equipment	Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0.0%

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Vine Street Community Day School was originally constructed in 1998 and expanded in the summer of 2004 to include a new classroom. The campus is currently comprised of three classrooms (including portables), a library, one computer lab, a garden, and one athletic field.

Since the school's opening, its students have assisted in the maintenance and cleaning of the school grounds as part of their respective rehabilitation plans, adding to their community service requirement of 20 hours. Renovations to the campus occur regularly due to student projects. A reading sanctuary has been added to the school's garden and a shed to house tools and supplies for the garden was constructed.

The students have also added horseshoe pits to the grounds for extracurricular recreation. The chart displays the results of the most recent school facilities inspection, provided by the district in December 2013.

Cleaning Process

The school provides a safe and clean environment for students, staff, and volunteers. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. Basic cleaning operations are performed on a daily basis throughout the school year with emphasis on classrooms and restrooms. A joint effort between students and staff helps keep the campus clean and litter-free.

Maintenance & Repair

A scheduled maintenance program is administered by the school's custodial staff on a regular basis, with heavy maintenance functions occurring during vacation periods. Additionally, a scheduled maintenance program is administered by the district to ensure that school grounds and facilities remain in excellent repair. A work order process is used when issues arise that require immediate attention. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority; repair requests are completed efficiently and in the order in which they are received. At the time of publication, 100% of the school's restrooms were in working order.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Year and month in which data were collected: 07/17/2015

System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces			X	North room office stained ceiling tiles/loose receptacle in wall of restroom/roof leak, middle Rm 2 tron carpet/damaged ceiling tiles in restrooms, south room damaged ceiling tiles and in boys restroom, floor tiles in girls restroom.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: 07/17/2015				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation		X		
Electrical: Electrical	X			missing lights lenses south room 3
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP], Science California Standards Tests); and
- The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2014-15 CAASPP Results for All Students			
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)		
	School	District	State
ELA	--	29	44
Math	--	19	33

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15	12-13	13-14	14-15
Science	--	--	--	43	42	37	59	60	56

* Results are for grades 5, 8, and 10. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	2014-15 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

2014-15 CAASPP Results by Student Group	
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced
	Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)
All Students in the LEA	37
All Student at the School	--
Male	--
Female	--
Asian	--
Hispanic or Latino	--
White	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	--
English Learners	--
Students with Disabilities	--
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	--
Foster Youth	--

* Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	7	2	1	50.0	--	--	--	--
	8	5	2	40.0	--	--	--	--
	11	2	1	50.0	--	--	--	--
Male	7	2	1	50.0	--	--	--	--
	8	5	2	40.0	--	--	--	--
	11	2	1	50.0	--	--	--	--
Female	7	2	0	0.0	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	2	0	0.0	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	7	2	1	50.0	--	--	--	--
	8	5	1	20.0	--	--	--	--
	11	2	0	0.0	--	--	--	--
White	8	5	1	20.0	--	--	--	--
	11	2	1	50.0	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	7	2	1	50.0	--	--	--	--
	8	5	2	40.0	--	--	--	--
	11	2	1	50.0	--	--	--	--
English Learners	11	2	0	0.0	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
All Students	7	2	1	50.0	--	--	--	--
	8	5	0	0.0	--	--	--	--
	11	2	1	50.0	--	--	--	--
Male	7	2	1	50.0	--	--	--	--
	8	5	0	0.0	--	--	--	--
	11	2	1	50.0	--	--	--	--
Female	7	2	0	0.0	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	2	0	0.0	--	--	--	--

School Year 2014-15 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Grade	Number of Students		Percent of Students				
		Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Not Met	Standard Nearly Met	Standard Met	Standard Exceeded
Hispanic or Latino	7	2	1	50.0	--	--	--	--
	8	5	0	0.0	--	--	--	--
	11	2	0	0.0	--	--	--	--
White	11	2	1	50.0	--	--	--	--
	7	2	1	50.0	--	--	--	--
	8	5	0	0.0	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	11	2	1	50.0	--	--	--	--
	7	2	1	50.0	--	--	--	--
	8	5	0	0.0	--	--	--	--
English Learners	11	2	0	0.0	--	--	--	--
Foster Youth	7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
	11	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes students that did not receive a score; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using students with scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parents and the community are very supportive of the educational programs in the Porterville Unified School District. Students are strongly encouraged to volunteer in community activities and organizations, such as the Police Activities League and local churches.

The Parent Teacher Association (PTA) plays an active role in the community through fundraising and special activities. Parents and guardians are always welcome on the Vine Street Community Day School campus and can support their child's learning environment by:

- Monitoring school attendance
- Participating in extra-curricular activities
- Monitoring and regulating television viewing
- Volunteering at school
- Planning and participating in activities at home that are supportive of classroom activities
- Participating in decision-making processes by attending School Site Council meetings.

Contact Information

Parents who wish to participate in the school's leadership teams, school committees, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the main office at (559) 782-6650. The district's website (<http://www.portervilleschools.org>) also provides a variety of helpful resources and information for parents, students, staff, and community members.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates; and other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern of Vine Street Community Day School. Teachers supervise students on campus before and after school, while noon-duty supervisors monitor students during the lunch break. Visitors are limited to parents only, who must sign in at the Principal's office and receive proper authorization to be at the school. Visitors are asked by the staff to display their pass at all times.

The School Site Safety Plan was most recently revised in fall 2014 by the Safety Committee. Key elements of the plan focus on emergency preparedness. The school is in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. Emergency drills are held on a regular basis; fire drills are held once a month, earthquake drills are held twice a year, and secure campus drills are conducted once each year.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	22.50	53.57	64.91
Expulsions Rate	0.00	3.57	1.75
District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	5.91	5.95	5.91
Expulsions Rate	0.25	0.64	0.34
State	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Suspensions Rate	5.07	4.36	3.80
Expulsions Rate	0.13	0.10	0.09

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2014-15 Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria			
AYP Criteria	School	District	State
English Language Arts			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No	Yes
Met Attendance Rate	N/A	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate	N/A	No	Yes

2015-16 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2006-2007
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	16	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	80.0	

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)												
Average Class Size				Number of Classrooms*								
				1-22			23-32			33+		
Subject	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English	3	2	5	4	7	5						
Math	2	4	2	5	3	3						
Science	1	2	2	5	5	8						
SS	2	3	4	5	3	6						

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	1
Social Worker	
Nurse	1
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1
Resource Specialist	
Other	
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Professional Development provided for Teachers

A constructive evaluation process promotes quality instruction and is a fundamental element in a sound educational program. Evaluations and formal observations are designed to encourage common goals and to comply with the state's evaluation criteria and district policies. Temporary and probationary teachers are evaluated annually and tenured teachers are evaluated every other year.

Evaluations are conducted by site administrators, who have been trained and certified for competency to perform teacher evaluations. Evaluation criteria includes the following:

- Engaging and Supporting all Students in Learning
- Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning
- Assessing Student Learning
- Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning
- Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for all Students
- Developing as a Professional Educator

Staff members build teaching skills and concepts through participation in conferences and workshops throughout the year. For the past three years, the district has offered two staff development days.

During this time, teachers are offered a broad-based variety of professional growth opportunities in curriculum, teaching strategies, and methodologies. The school uses the Best Practices teaching strategies and teachers are trained in the techniques each year.

For additional support in their profession, teachers may enlist the services of the district's Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) facilitator.

FY 2013-14 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$43,580	\$43,062
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$65,824	\$67,927
Highest Teacher Salary	\$85,004	\$87,811
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$143,127	\$110,136
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$134,920	\$115,946
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$142,109	\$124,865
Superintendent Salary	\$192,148	\$211,869
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	37%	39%
Administrative Salaries	4%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Types of Services Funded

In addition to general state funding, Porterville Unified School District received state and federal categorical funding for the following support programs:

- Title I, Basic Grant
- Title II, Teacher Quality & Technology
- Title III, Limited English Proficiency

Title VII — Indian, Native Hawaiian, and Alaska Native Education

FY 2013-14 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$16,847	\$404	\$16,443	\$74,453
District	♦	♦	\$6,336	\$71,239
State	♦	♦	\$5,348	\$71,529
Percent Difference: School Site/District			159.5	7.5
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			197.0	8.2

* Cells with ♦ do not require data.

2014-15 California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group		
Group	English-Language Arts	Mathematics

	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	59	22	20	56	34	11
All Students at the School	0			0	0	

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAHSEE Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
Vine Street Community Day	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English-Language Arts			
Mathematics			
Porterville Unified School District	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English-Language Arts	43	42	41
Mathematics	49	49	44
California	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
English-Language Arts	57	56	58
Mathematics	60	62	59

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)			
Vine Street Community Day	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Dropout Rate	16.40	14.70	13.90
Graduation Rate	80.54	83.65	84.29
Porterville Unified School District	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Dropout Rate	16.40	14.70	13.90
Graduation Rate	80.54	83.65	84.29
California	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Dropout Rate	13.10	11.40	11.50
Graduation Rate	78.87	80.44	80.95

Career Technical Education Participation	
Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements			
Group	Graduating Class of 2014		
	School	District	State

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission	
UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2014-15 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0
2013-14 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	

2014-15 Advanced Placement Courses		
Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		♦
English		♦
Fine and Performing Arts		♦
Foreign Language		♦
Mathematics		♦
Science		♦
Social Science		♦
All courses		

* Where there are student course enrollments.

Career Technical Education Programs

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.